arXiv:1405.0746v2 [math.MG] 3 Aug 2014

Dual Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory: dual Orlicz L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas *

Deping Ye

Abstract

This paper aims to develop basic theory for the dual Orlicz L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas for star bodies, which belong to the recent dual Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory for star bodies. Basic properties for these new affine invariants will be provided. Moreover, related Orlicz affine isoperimetric inequality, cyclic inequality, Santaló style inequality and Alexander-Fenchel type inequality are established.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 52A20, 53A15.

1 Introduction

The L_p affine and geominimal surface areas are central in the L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory for convex bodies (i.e., convex compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with nonempty interiors). These affine invariants are very useful in applications, see [15, 17, 21, 22, 23, 35, 40, 43, 44] among others. Other major contributions, including the L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities, can be found in, e.g., [19, 28, 31, 32, 36, 37, 39, 45]. Note that the L_p affine and geominimal surface areas of K for $p \geq 1$ in [28] were defined to be (essentially) the infimum of $V_p(K, L^\circ)$ with L having the same volume as the unit Euclidean ball B_2^n and with L running over all star bodies and convex bodies respectively, where $V_p(K, L^\circ)$ is the p-mixed volume of K and the polar body of L. The author in [49] proved similar results for the L_p affine surface area for $-n \neq p < 1$, which motivate the definition of the L_p geominimal surface area for $-n \neq p < 1$.

There are dual concepts for the L_p affine and geominimal surface areas, namely, the dual L_p affine and geominimal surface areas for star bodies [41, 42], which belong to the dual (L_p) Brunn-Minkowski theory for star bodies developed by Lutwak [24, 26]. The dual (L_p) Brunn-Minkowski theory for star bodies received considerable attention, see [2, 4, 8, 12, 13, 14, 27, 33, 53] among others. In particular, the dual (L_p) Brunn-Minkowski theory has been proved to be very powerful in solving many geometric problems, for instance, the Busemann-Petty problems (see e.g., [6, 11, 26, 54]).

The Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory for convex bodies, initiated from the work [29, 30] by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang, is the next generation of the L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory for convex bodies. In view of the importance of the L_p affine and geominimal surface areas in the L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory, it is important to define Orlicz affine and geominimal surface areas. Due

*Keywords: affine surface area, geominimal surface area, Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory, affine isoperimetric inequalities, Dual Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory.

to lack of homogeneity, extension of the L_p affine and geominimal surface areas to their Orlicz counterparts may not be unique. Here, we mention two major extensions in literature. The first one is by Ludwig in [20], where the general affine surface areas were proposed based on a beautiful integral expression of the L_p affine surface areas. The second one is by the author in [51], where the Orlicz L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas were defined as the extreme values of $V_\phi(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L)L^\circ)$ with L running over all star bodies and convex bodies respectively. Readers are referred to [20, 50, 51] for basic properties and inequalities regarding the Orlicz affine and geominimal surface areas.

This paper aims to develop the dual Orlicz L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas for star bodies, which belong to the recent dual Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory for star bodies. Basic setting for the dual Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory has been developed in [10] (see the independent work [55] for special cases of some of the results in [10]), where the Orlicz radial addition was defined and the Orlicz L_ϕ-dual mixed volume was proposed. Important inequalities in the classical Brunn-Minkowski theory, such as, Brunn-Minkowski inequality and Minkowski first inequality, have been extended to their dual Orlicz counterparts. In particular, the dual Orlicz-Minkowski inequality was proved and plays key roles in establishing Orlicz affine isoperimetric inequalities for the dual Orlicz L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas in this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 3 is dedicated to the Orlicz ϕ-mixed volume and its dual. In particular, the Orlicz isoperimetric inequality and the Orlicz-Urysohn inequality as well as their dual counterparts are proved. In Section 4, the dual Orlicz L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas are proposed and their basic properties are proved. Related Orlicz affine isoperimetric inequality, Santaló style inequality and cyclic inequality are established. In Section 5, the dual Orlicz mixed L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas for multiple star bodies are briefly discussed and related Alexander-Fenchel type inequality is given. Basic background and notation are provided in Section 2, and more background can be found in [7, 38].

2 Background and Notation

Denote by $B_2^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ the unit Euclidean ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual Euclidean metric induced by the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We use ∂K to denote the boundary of K. In particular, ∂B_2^n (usually denoted by S^{n-1}) is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and S^{n-1} has the usual spherical measure σ. In general, for a measurable set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $|K|$ denotes the Hausdorff content of the appropriate dimension of K. For convenience, let $\omega_n = |B_2^n|$.

The compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be star-shaped about the origin, if every closed line segment from the origin to any point $x \in K$ is contained in K. Note that, if K is star-shaped about the origin, then K can be uniquely determined by its *radial function* $\rho_K : S^{n-1} \to [0, \infty]$ defined by $\rho_K(u) = \max\{\lambda : \lambda u \in K\}$ for all $u \in S^{n-1}$. If $\rho_K(u)$ is continuous and positive on S^{n-1}, then K is said to be a star body (about the origin). Let $\mathscr{S}_0$ denote the set of all star bodies (about the origin) in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Two star bodies $K, L \in \mathscr{S}_0$ are dilates of each other if there is a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that $\rho_L(u) = \lambda \rho_K(u)$ for all $u \in S^{n-1}$; equivalently, $L = \lambda K = \{\lambda x, x \in K\}$. If $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$ is convex, K will be called a *convex body* (with the origin in its interior). The set of all convex bodies with the origin in their interiors is denoted by $\mathscr{K}_0$ and clearly $\mathscr{K}_0 \subset \mathscr{S}_0$. Besides the radial function, a convex body $K \in \mathscr{K}_0$ can be uniquely determined by its *support function* $h_K(\cdot) : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as $h_K(u) = \max_{x \in K} \langle x, u \rangle$ for all $u \in S^{n-1}$.

Define the *polar body* K° of $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$ by $K^\circ = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y\rangle \le 1, \forall x \in K\}$. It is easily checked that K° is always convex no matter whether $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$ is convex or not. Note that $K \subset (K^\circ)^\circ$ for all $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$. The bipolar theorem (see, e.g., [38]) implies that, for $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$, $(K^\circ)^\circ$ is equal to the convex hull of K – the smallest convex body contains K. Moreover, if $K \in \mathscr{K}_0$ is convex, $(K^\circ)^\circ = K$ and $\rho_K(u)h_{K^\circ}(u) = 1$ holds for all $u \in S^{n-1}$.

Denote by $\mathscr{K}_c$ and $\mathscr{K}_s$ the sets of convex bodies with centroid and Santaló point at the origin, respectively. Hereafter, $K \in \mathscr{K}_0$ is said to have the *Santaló point* at the origin, if K° has the centroid at the origin, that is, $K \in \mathscr{K}_s \Leftrightarrow K^\circ \in \mathscr{K}_c$. For convenience, let $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}} = \mathscr{K}_c \cup \mathscr{K}_s$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{S}} = \{L \in \mathscr{S}_0 : \ L^\circ \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}\}$. Note that $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$ implies $K^\circ \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$. Due to the bipolar theorem, for $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$, the convex hull of K is a convex body in $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$. It is obvious that $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}} \subset \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$.

For a linear transform $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $|det(T)|$, T^* and T^{-1} refer to the absolute value of the determinant, the transpose and the inverse of T respectively. The set of all invertible linear transforms is denoted by $GL(n)$. We say $T \in SL(n)$ if $T \in GL(n)$ with $|det(T)| = 1$. The set $T(K)$ with $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$ will be written as TK for simplicity. An *origin-symmetric ellipsoid* $\mathscr{E} \in \mathscr{K}_0$ is the image of the Euclidean ball under some $T \in GL(n)$, that is, $\mathscr{E} = TB_2^n$ for some $T \in GL(n)$. Origin-symmetric ellipsoids serve as the maximizers/minimizers of many important affine isoperimetric inequalities, for example, the Blaschke-Santaló inequality: for $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$, $\mathrm{vrad}(K)\mathrm{vrad}(K^\circ) \le 1$ with equality if and only if K is an origin-symmetric ellipsoid. Hereafter, $\mathrm{vrad}(K)$ denotes the volume radius of K, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{vrad}(K) = \left(\frac{|K|}{|B_2^n|}\right)^{1/n} \Longleftrightarrow |K|^{1/n} = \omega_n^{1/n}\mathrm{vrad}(K).$$

Note that $\mathrm{vrad}(rB_2^n) = r$ for all $r > 0$, and for all $T \in SL(n)$,

$$\mathrm{vrad}(TK) = \mathrm{vrad}(K). \tag{2.1}$$

It is easily checked that $|K| \le |L|$ implies $\mathrm{vrad}(K) \le \mathrm{vrad}(L)$. In particular, $\mathrm{vrad}(K) \le \mathrm{vrad}(L)$ if $K \subset L$. Due to $L \subset (L^\circ)^\circ$ for all $L \in \mathscr{S}_0$, one gets: if $L \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$ (and hence $L^\circ \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$), then

$$\mathrm{vrad}(L)\mathrm{vrad}(L^\circ) \le \mathrm{vrad}((L^\circ)^\circ)\mathrm{vrad}(L^\circ) \le 1, \tag{2.2}$$

with equality if and only if L is an origin-symmetric ellipsoid. On the other hand, the following inverse Santaló inequality [3] holds:

$$\mathrm{vrad}(K)\mathrm{vrad}(K^\circ) \ge c, \quad \forall K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $c > 0$ is a (universal) constant independent of n and K (see [18, 34] for estimates on c).

3 Orlicz ϕ-mixed volume and its dual

3.1 Orlicz ϕ-mixed volume

Let $\phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function. Define the Orlicz ϕ-mixed volume $V_\phi(K, Q)$ of convex bodies $K, Q \in \mathscr{K}_0$ by [9, 47, 51]

$$V_\phi(K, Q) = \frac{1}{n}\int_{S^{n-1}} \phi\left(\frac{h_Q(u)}{h_K(u)}\right) h_K(u)\, dS(K, u),$$

where $S(K,\cdot)$ on S^{n-1} is the surface area measure of K (see [1, 5]), such that, for any Borel subset A of S^{n-1}, one has

$$S(K,A)=|\{x\in\partial K:\ \exists u\in A,\text{ s.t., }H(x,u)\text{ is a support hyperplane of }\partial K\text{ at }x\}|.$$

The following Orlicz-Minkowski inequality for $V_\phi(K,L)$ was established in [9] (where more general cases were also proved). See [47] for similar results.

Theorem 3.1 (Orlicz-Minkowski inequality). *Let $K,L\in\mathscr{K}_0$ and $\phi(t)$ be an increasing convex function. One has, $V_\phi(K,L)\geq|K|\cdot\phi\big(|L|^{1/n}\cdot|K|^{-1/n}\big)$. If in addition $\phi(t)$ is strictly convex, equality holds if and only if K and L are dilates of each other.*

Define $S_\phi(K)$, the Orlicz ϕ-surface area of K, to be $nV_\phi(K,B_2^n)$. It is easily checked that for all $r>0$, $S_\phi(rB_2^n)=\phi(1/r)\cdot n|rB_2^n|$. In particular,

$$S_\phi(B_K)=S_\phi\big(\mathrm{vrad}(K)B_2^n\big)=\phi\bigg(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}(K)}\bigg)\cdot n|K|.$$

The following result is an Orlicz isoperimetric inequality for $S_\phi(K)$, which follows from Theorem 3.1 by letting $L=B_2^n$. The classical isoperimetric inequality is the special case with $\phi(t)=t$.

Theorem 3.2 (Orlicz isoperimetric inequality). *Let $K\in\mathscr{K}_0$ and $\phi(t)$ be an increasing convex function. One has, $S_\phi(K)\geq S_\phi(B_K)$. If $\phi(t)$ is strictly convex, equality holds if and only if K is an origin-symmetric Euclidean ball.*

The following inequality is an Orlicz-Urysohn inequality for $\omega_\phi(K)$, which follows from Theorem 3.1 by letting $K=B_2^n$ and $L=K$. The classical Urysohn inequality is related to $\phi(t)=t$. Here, $\omega_\phi(K)$ is the Orlicz ϕ mean width of $K\in\mathscr{K}_0$ defined by

$$\omega_\phi(K)=\frac{1}{n\omega_n}\int_{S^{n-1}}\phi\big(h_K(u)\big)\,d\sigma(u)=\frac{V_\phi(B_2^n,K)}{\omega_n}.$$

In particular, $\omega_\phi(rB_2^n)=\phi(r)$ and hence $\omega_\phi(B_K)=\phi(\mathrm{vrad}(K))$.

Theorem 3.3 (Orlicz-Urysohn inequality). *Let $K\in\mathscr{K}_0$ and $\phi(t)$ be an increasing convex function. Then, $\omega_\phi(K)\geq\omega_\phi(B_K)$. If $\phi(t)$ is strictly convex, equality holds if and only if K is an origin-symmetric Euclidean ball.*

3.2 Orlicz L_ϕ-dual mixed volume

Let $\phi:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$ be a continuous function. The Orlicz L_ϕ-dual mixed volume for $K,L\in\mathscr{S}_0$ was defined in [10, 55] by

$$\widetilde{V}_\phi(K,L)=\frac{1}{n}\int_{S^{n-1}}\phi\left(\frac{\rho_L(u)}{\rho_K(u)}\right)[\rho_K(u)]^n\,d\sigma(u).$$

Clearly, if $L=\lambda K$ for some $\lambda>0$, one gets

$$\widetilde{V}_\phi(K,\lambda K)=\frac{1}{n}\int_{S^{n-1}}\phi\left(\lambda\right)[\rho_K(u)]^n\,d\sigma(u)=\phi(\lambda)|K|.\tag{3.4}$$

The following dual Orlicz-Minkowski inequality for $\widetilde{V}_\phi(K,L)$ plays fundamental roles in this paper (more general results can be found in [10]). See [55] for similar results.

Theorem 3.4 (Dual Orlicz-Minkowski inequality). *Let $K, L \in \mathscr{S}_0$, and $F(t) = \phi(t^{1/n})$. If $F(t)$ is concave, then*

$$\widetilde{V}_\phi(K, L) \le |K| \cdot \phi\bigg(\bigg(\frac{|L|}{|K|}\bigg)^{1/n}\bigg),$$

while if $F(t)$ is convex, the inequality is reversed. If $F(t)$ is strictly concave (or convex, as appropriate), equality holds if and only if K and L are dilates of each other.

Let $\widetilde{S}_\phi(K) = n\widetilde{V}_\phi(K, B_2^n)$ be the Orlicz L_ϕ-dual surface area of K. For $B_K = \mathrm{vrad}(K)B_2^n$ with $r = \mathrm{vrad}(K)$, one has, by formula (3.4),

$$\widetilde{S}_\phi(B_K) = \widetilde{S}_\phi(rB_2^n) = \phi(1/r) \cdot n|rB_2^n| = \phi\bigg(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}(K)}\bigg) \cdot n|K|. \tag{3.5}$$

The following dual Orlicz isoperimetric inequality for $\widetilde{S}_\phi(K)$ follows immediately by letting $L = B_2^n$ in Theorem 3.4 and by formula (3.5).

Theorem 3.5 (Dual Orlicz isoperimetric inequality). *Let $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$. If $F(t) = \phi(t^{1/n})$ is concave, then $\widetilde{S}_\phi(K) \le \widetilde{S}_\phi(B_K)$, while if $F(t)$ is convex, the inequality is reversed. If $F(t)$ is strictly concave (or convex, as appropriate), equality holds if and only if K is an origin-symmetric Euclidean ball.*

Remark. Write $\widetilde{S}_p(K)$ for the case $\phi(t) = t^p$, and $\widetilde{S}_p(\lambda K) = \lambda^{n-p}\widetilde{S}_p(K)$, for all $\lambda > 0$. When $\phi(t) = t^p$ with $p \in [n, \infty) \cup (-\infty, 0)$, one can even have

$$\frac{\widetilde{S}_p(K)}{\widetilde{S}_p(B_2^n)} \ge \bigg(\frac{|K|}{|B_2^n|}\bigg)^{\frac{n-p}{n}},$$

while if $p \in (0, n)$, the inequality is reversed.

For $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$, define the Orlicz L_ϕ mean radius of K (denoted by $\widetilde{\omega}_\phi(K)$) as

$$\widetilde{\omega}_\phi(K) = \frac{1}{n\omega_n}\int_{S^{n-1}} \phi\big(\rho_K(u)\big)\, d\sigma(u) = \frac{\widetilde{V}_\phi(B_2^n, K)}{\omega_n}.$$

The following dual Orlicz-Urysohn inequality for $\widetilde{\omega}_\phi(K)$ follows immediately by letting $K = B_2^n$ and $L = K$ in Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.6 (Dual Orlicz-Urysohn inequality). *Let $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$. If $F(t) = \phi(t^{1/n})$ is concave, then $\widetilde{\omega}_\phi(K) \le \widetilde{\omega}_\phi(B_K)$, while if $F(t)$ is convex, the inequality is reversed. If $F(t)$ is strictly concave (or convex, as appropriate), equality holds if and only if K is an origin-symmetric Euclidean ball.*

4 Dual Orlicz L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas

4.1 Definitions and basic properties

Let $\phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function. Consider the sets of functions $\widetilde{\Phi}$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}$

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Phi} &= \{\phi : F(t) = \phi(t^{1/n}) \text{ is either a constant or a strictly convex function}\},\\ \widetilde{\Psi} &= \{\phi : F(t) = \phi(t^{1/n}) \text{ is either a constant or an increasing strictly concave function}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that t^p with $p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (n, \infty)$ and all decreasing strictly convex functions are in $\widetilde{\Phi}$; while t^p with $p \in (0, n)$ and all increasing strictly concave functions are in $\widetilde{\Psi}$.

For $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$, denote by $\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K)$ the dual Orlicz L_ϕ affine surface area of K and by $\widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K)$ the dual Orlicz L_ϕ geominimal surface area of K.

Definition 4.1 *Let $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$ be a star body about the origin.*
(i) For $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$, define $\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K)$ and $\widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K)$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) = \inf_{L \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}} \left\{ n\widetilde{V}_\phi(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^\circ)L) \right\}, \quad \& \quad \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) = \inf_{L \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}} \left\{ n\widetilde{V}_\phi(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^\circ)L) \right\}. \quad (4.6)$$

(ii) For $\phi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$, define $\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K)$ and $\widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K)$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) = \sup_{L \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}} \left\{ n\widetilde{V}_\phi(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^\circ)L) \right\}, \quad \& \quad \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) = \sup_{L \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}} \left\{ n\widetilde{V}_\phi(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^\circ)L) \right\}. \quad (4.7)$$

Remark. Note that if $\phi(t) = \alpha$ is a constant function, then $\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) = \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) = \alpha \cdot n|K|$ for all $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$. It is often more convenient to take the infimum/supremum over L with $|L^\circ| = \omega_n$. In fact, for all $L \in \mathscr{S}_0$, one checks that $|\big(\mathrm{vrad}(L^\circ)L\big)^\circ| = \big|\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}(L^\circ)} L^\circ\big| = \omega_n$. Hence, for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$,

$$\widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) = \inf_{L \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}} \left\{ n\widetilde{V}_\phi(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^\circ)L) \right\} = \inf \left\{ n\widetilde{V}_\phi(K, L) : L \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}} \text{ with } |L^\circ| = \omega_n \right\}.$$

Similar formulas for other cases can be obtained along the same line. It is easy to prove that, for all $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$ and $\phi \le \psi$ with either $\phi, \psi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ or $\phi, \psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$, then

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \le \widetilde{\Omega}_\psi^{orlicz}(K), \quad \& \quad \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \le \widetilde{G}_\psi^{orlicz}(K).$$

Moreover, by $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}} \subset \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$ and by taking $L = B_2^n$ in Definition 4.1, one has, for all $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) &\le \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \le \widetilde{S}_\phi(K), \quad \forall \phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}; \\ \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) &\ge \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \ge \widetilde{S}_\phi(K), \quad \forall \phi \in \widetilde{\Psi}. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that the dual Orlicz L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas are affine invariant.

Proposition 4.1 *Let $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$. For all $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi} \cup \widetilde{\Psi}$, one has*

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(TK) = \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K); \quad \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(TK) = \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K), \quad \forall T \in SL(n).$$

Proof. Let $T \in SL(n)$ and let $u = \frac{T^{-1}v}{\|T^{-1}v\|} \in S^{n-1}$ for $v \in S^{n-1}$. It can be checked that $\rho_{TK}(v)\|T^{-1}v\| = \rho_K(u)$ (see [7]), and $[\rho_{TK}(v)]^n \, d\sigma(v) = [\rho_K(u)]^n \, d\sigma(u)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} n\widetilde{V}_\phi(TK, TL) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \phi\left(\frac{\rho_{TL}(v)}{\rho_{TK}(v)} \right) [\rho_{TK}(v)]^n \, d\sigma(v) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \phi\left(\frac{\rho_L(u)}{\rho_K(u)} \right) [\rho_K(u)]^n \, d\sigma(u) = n\widetilde{V}_\phi(K, L). \end{aligned}$$

Together with formula (2.1), one gets, $\forall \phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(TK) &= \inf_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}}\Big\{n\widetilde{V}_{\phi}\big(TK, \mathrm{vrad}((TL)^{\circ})(TL)\big)\Big\}\\ &= \inf_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}}\Big\{n\widetilde{V}_{\phi}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^{\circ})L)\Big\} = \widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(K).\end{aligned}$$

The remainder of the theorem follows along the same line.

Remark. Let $\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}^{orlicz}(K) = \widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(K)$ and $\widetilde{G}_{p}^{orlicz}(K) = \widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(K)$ for $\phi(t) = t^{p}$ with $n \neq p \in \mathbb{R}$. A more careful calculation shows that

$$\widetilde{G}_{p}^{orlicz}(TK) = |det(T)|^{\frac{n-p}{n}}\widetilde{G}_{p}^{orlicz}(K);\quad \widetilde{\Omega}_{p}^{orlicz}(TK) = |det(T)|^{\frac{n-p}{n}}\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}^{orlicz}(K).$$

Denote by $\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}$ the set of functions $\phi(t) \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ with $F(t) = \phi(t^{1/n})$ being either a constant function or a decreasing strictly convex function. Clearly, $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}$ is decreasing.

Corollary 4.1 *Let $\mathscr{E}$ be an origin-symmetric ellipsoid. For $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{1} \cup \widetilde{\Psi}$, one has*

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(\mathscr{E}) = \widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(\mathscr{E}) = \phi\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}(\mathscr{E})}\right)\cdot n|\mathscr{E}|.$$

In particular, $\widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(\mathscr{E}) = \widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(\mathscr{E}) = n|\mathscr{E}|\cdot\phi(1)$, if $|\mathscr{E}| = |B_{2}^{n}|$.

Proof. For $\mathscr{E} = T(rB_{2}^{n})$ with $T \in SL(n)$ and $r > 0$, Proposition 4.1 implies that, for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}\cup\widetilde{\Psi}$,

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(\mathscr{E}) = \widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(rB_{2}^{n}),\quad \& \quad \widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(\mathscr{E}) = \widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(rB_{2}^{n}).$$

It is enough to consider $\mathscr{E} = rB_{2}^{n}$ for some $r > 0$. By formulas (4.6) and (3.5), one has, for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}$,

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(rB_{2}^{n}) \leq \widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(rB_{2}^{n}) \leq n\widetilde{V}_{\phi}(rB_{2}^{n}, B_{2}^{n}) = n\phi(1/r)|rB_{2}^{n}|.$$

On the other hand, dual Orlicz-Minkowski inequality and inequality (2.2) together with the decreasing property of $\phi(t)$ (as $F(t)$ is decreasing) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(rB_{2}^{n}) &= n\inf_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}}\widetilde{V}_{\phi}(rB_{2}^{n}, \mathrm{vrad}(L^{\circ})L)\\ &\geq \inf_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}}\phi\left(\frac{\mathrm{vrad}(L)\mathrm{vrad}(L^{\circ})}{r}\right)\cdot n|rB_{2}^{n}| \geq n\phi(1/r)\,|rB_{2}^{n}|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(rB_{2}^{n}) = \widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(rB_{2}^{n}) = n\phi(1/r)\,|rB_{2}^{n}|$ for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_{1}$ as desired.

Similarly, dual Orlicz-Minkowski inequality and inequality (2.2) imply that for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$,

$$\begin{aligned}n\phi(1/r)|rB_{2}^{n}| &\leq \widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(rB_{2}^{n}) \leq \widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(rB_{2}^{n})\\ &\leq \sup_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}}\phi\left(\frac{\mathrm{vrad}(L)\mathrm{vrad}(L^{\circ})}{r}\right)\cdot n|rB_{2}^{n}| \leq n\phi(1/r)\,|rB_{2}^{n}|.\end{aligned}$$

4.2 Orlicz affine isoperimetric inequalities

Proposition 4.2 *Let $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$ be a star body about the origin and let $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) &\leq \phi\big(vrad(K^\circ)\big)\cdot n|K|, \quad for \quad K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}};\\ \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) &\leq \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \leq \phi\big(vrad(K^\circ)\big)\cdot n|K|, \quad for \quad K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if in addition $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_1$, one has, for $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$,

$$\widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \geq \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \geq \phi\bigg(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}(K)}\bigg)\cdot n|K|.$$

If $\phi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$, the above inequalities are reversed.

Proof. We only prove the case $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$, and the case $\phi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$ follows along the same fashion. Formula (3.4) implies that,

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) = \inf_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{S}}}\Big\{n\widetilde{V}_\phi(K,\mathrm{vrad}(L^\circ)L)\Big\} \leq n\widetilde{V}_\phi(K,\mathrm{vrad}(K^\circ)K) = \phi\left(\mathrm{vrad}(K^\circ)\right)\cdot n|K|, \quad K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}},\\ &\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \leq \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \leq n\widetilde{V}_\phi(K,\mathrm{vrad}(K^\circ)K) = \phi\left(\mathrm{vrad}(K^\circ)\right)\cdot n|K|, \quad K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now assume $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_1$, and hence $\phi(t)$ is decreasing. Together with dual Orlicz-Minkowski inequality and inequality (2.2), one has, for all $L \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) &\geq \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) = \inf_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{S}}}\{n\widetilde{V}_\phi(K,\mathrm{vrad}(L^\circ)L)\}\\ &\geq n|K|\cdot\inf_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{S}}}\phi\left(\frac{\mathrm{vrad}(L)\mathrm{vrad}(L^\circ)}{\mathrm{vrad}(K)}\right) \geq \phi\bigg(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}(K)}\bigg)\cdot n|K|.\end{aligned}$$

We prove the following Orlicz affine isoperimetric inequalities for the dual Orlicz L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas. Let $B_K = \mathrm{vrad}(K)B_2^n$. Corollary 4.1 implies that, for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_1 \cup \widetilde{\Psi}$,

$$\phi\bigg(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}(K)}\bigg)\cdot n|K| = \phi\bigg(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}(B_K)}\bigg)\cdot n|B_K| = \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(B_K) = \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(B_K). \tag{4.8}$$

Theorem 4.1 *Let $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$ be a star body about the origin.*
(i) For $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_1$ and $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$, one has

$$\widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \geq \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \geq \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(B_K) = \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(B_K),$$

while if $\phi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$, the inequalities are reversed.
(ii) For $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) &\leq \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}((B_{K^\circ})^\circ), \quad for\ K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}};\\ \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) &\leq \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \leq \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}((B_{K^\circ})^\circ), \quad for\ K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}.\end{aligned}$$

Equality holds if and only if K is an origin-symmetric ellipsoid.

Proof. (i). Let $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_1$. For all $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$, Proposition 4.2 and equality (4.8) imply that

$$\widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \geq \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \geq \phi\bigg(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}(K)}\bigg) \cdot n|K| = \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(B_K) = \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(B_K).$$

The case $\phi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$ follows along the same fashion.

(ii). Let $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_1$. Proposition 4.2, Corollary 4.1 and inequality (2.2) imply that for all $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) &\leq \phi\left(\mathrm{vrad}(K^\circ)\right) \cdot n|K| = \phi\left(\mathrm{vrad}(B_{K^\circ})\right) \cdot n|(B_{K^\circ})^\circ| \cdot \frac{|K|}{|(B_{K^\circ})^\circ|} \\ &= \phi\bigg(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}\big((B_{K^\circ})^\circ\big)}\bigg) \cdot n|(B_{K^\circ})^\circ| \cdot \frac{|K||K^\circ|}{|(B_{K^\circ})^\circ||B_{K^\circ}|} \leq \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}((B_{K^\circ})^\circ). \qquad (4.9)\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, equality holds if K is an origin-symmetric ellipsoid. On the other hand, to have equality in the above inequalities, one needs to have equality in the second inequality. That is, equality holds in inequality (2.2), and hence K has to be an origin-symmetric ellipsoid. Similarly, for $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$, one has, $\widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \leq \phi\left(\mathrm{vrad}(K^\circ)\right) \cdot n|K| \leq \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}((B_{K^\circ})^\circ)$, with equality if and only if K is an origin-symmetric ellipsoid.

Remark. Part (i) of Theorem 4.1 asserts that *among all $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$ with volume fixed, the dual Orlicz L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas attain the minimum for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_1$ and the maximum for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$ at origin-symmetric ellipsoids.* For $\phi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$ and for $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$, one can prove that,

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \geq \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) \geq n|(B_{K^\circ})^\circ| \cdot \phi\bigg(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}\big((B_{K^\circ})^\circ\big)}\bigg) \cdot \frac{|K||K^\circ|}{|(B_{K^\circ})^\circ||B_{K^\circ}|} \geq c^n \cdot \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}\big((B_{K^\circ})^\circ\big),$$

where c is the constant in inequality (2.3). Similar to inequality (4.9), for all $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi} \setminus \widetilde{\Phi}_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) &\leq \phi\bigg(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}\big((B_{K^\circ})^\circ\big)}\bigg) \cdot n|(B_{K^\circ})^\circ|, \quad K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}, \\ \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K) &\leq \phi\bigg(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}\big((B_{K^\circ})^\circ\big)}\bigg) \cdot n|(B_{K^\circ})^\circ|, \quad K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}.\end{aligned}$$

4.3 Santaló style inequalities

The following proposition gives Santaló style inequalities for $\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K)$ and $\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K)$.

Proposition 4.3 *Let $n \neq p \in \mathbb{R}$.*

(i) Let $-n \leq p \leq 0$. For $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$, one has

$$c^{n-p}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\big]^2 \leq \widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \leq \widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \leq \big[\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\big]^2,$$

with equality in $\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \leq \big[\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\big]^2$ if and only if K is an origin-symmetric ellipsoid. Moreover, $\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \leq \big[\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\big]^2$ for $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$ with equality if and only if K is an origin-symmetric ellipsoid.

(ii) Let $p < -n$. For $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$, one has

$$c^{n-p}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\big]^2 \le \widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \le \widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \le c^{n+p}\big[\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\big]^2.$$

(iii) Let $0 < p < n$. For $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$, one has

$$c^{n+p}\big[\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\big]^2 \le \widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \le \widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\big]^2.$$

Furthermore, $\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\big]^2$ for $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$ with equality if and only if K is an origin-symmetric ellipsoid.

(iv) Let $p > n$. The following inequalities hold

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) &\le (n\omega_n)^2, \quad K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}, \\ \widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) &\le (n\omega_n)^2, \quad K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Replacing $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$ by its polar body $K^\circ \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$ in Proposition 4.2, one has, for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$,

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \le \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \le \phi(\mathrm{vrad}(K)) \cdot n|K^\circ|.$$

Hence, for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ and $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$,

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \le \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \le \phi(\mathrm{vrad}(K))\,\phi(\mathrm{vrad}(K^\circ)) \cdot n^2|K| \cdot |K^\circ|. \quad (4.10)$$

Moreover, for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_1$ and $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$,

$$\phi\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}(K)}\right)\phi\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vrad}(K^\circ)}\right) \cdot n^2|K| \cdot |K^\circ| \le \widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \le \widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K^\circ). \quad (4.11)$$

(i). For $-n \le p \le 0$, one gets $n - p \ge n + p \ge 0$ and $\phi(t) = t^p \in \widetilde{\Phi}_1$. Inequalities (4.10) and (4.11) together with Corollary 4.1, the Blaschke-Santaló inequality and the inverse Santaló inequality imply that for $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} c^{n-p} \cdot \big[\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\big]^2 = c^{n-p} \cdot n^2|B_2^n|^2 &\le \frac{n^2(|K| \cdot |K^\circ|)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{|B_2^n|^{\frac{-2p}{n}}} \le \widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \\ &\le \widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \le \frac{n^2(|K| \cdot |K^\circ|)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}}{|B_2^n|^{\frac{2p}{n}}} \le n^2|B_2^n|^2 = \big[\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\big]^2. \end{aligned}$$

The equality clearly holds in $\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \le \big[\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\big]^2$ if K is an origin-symmetric ellipsoid. On the other hand, equality holds only if equality holds in the Blaschke-Santaló inequality, that is, K has to be an origin-symmetric ellipsoid.

The proof of $\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ) \le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\big]^2$ for $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$ with characterization for equality follows along the same line and hence is omitted.

(ii). For $p<-n$, one gets $n+p<0<n-p$ and $\phi(t)\in\widetilde{\Phi}_1$. Similar to part (i), Corollary 4.1 and the inverse Santaló inequality imply that for all $K\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} c^{n-p}\cdot\left[\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\right]^2 &\le \frac{n^2(|K|\cdot|K^\circ|)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{|B_2^n|^{-\frac{2p}{n}}}\le \widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ)\\ &\le \widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ)\le \frac{n^2(|K|\cdot|K^\circ|)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}}{|B_2^n|^{\frac{2p}{n}}}\le c^{n+p}\cdot\left[\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

(iii). Let $0<p<n$ which implies $n+p>n-p>0$ and $\phi(t)=t^p\in\widetilde{\Psi}$. By Corollary 4.1, the Blaschke-Santaló and the inverse Santaló inequalities, one gets, for $K\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\right]^2 &\ge \frac{n^2(|K|\cdot|K^\circ|)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}}{|B_2^n|^{-\frac{2p}{n}}}\ge \widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ)\\ &\ge \widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ)\ge \frac{n^2(|K|\cdot|K^\circ|)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}}{|B_2^n|^{\frac{2p}{n}}}\ge c^{n+p}\left[\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ)\le\left[\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(B_2^n)\right]^2$ for $K\in\widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$. Moreover, equality holds only if equality holds in inequality (2.2) and hence K has to be an origin-symmetric ellipsoid. On the other hand, equality clearly holds for all origin-symmetric ellipsoids.

(iv). Let $p>n$. Similar to part (i), one has, by inequality (2.2),

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ)\le\frac{n^2(|K|\cdot|K^\circ|)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}}{|B_2^n|^{\frac{2p}{n}}}\le(n\omega_n)^2,\qquad K\in\widetilde{\mathscr{S}}.$$

Similarly, $\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K)\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(K^\circ)\le(n\omega_n)^2$ for $K\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$.

4.4 Cyclic inequalities and a monotonicity property

Let $H(t)=(\phi\circ\psi^{-1})(t)$ be the composition of $\phi(t)$ and $\psi^{-1}(t)$, where $\psi^{-1}(t)$, the inverse function of $\psi(t)$, is always assumed to exist. Let $H(0)=\lim_{t\to0}H(t)$ if the limit exists and is finite; while let $H(0)=\infty$ if $\lim_{t\to0}H(t)=\infty$. Similarly, let $H(\infty)=\lim_{t\to\infty}H(t)$ if the limit exists and is finite; or simply $H(\infty)=\infty$ if $\lim_{t\to\infty}H(t)=\infty$. As in [51], we are not interested in the following cases: $H(t)$ being decreasing with $\phi(t),\psi(t)\in\widetilde{\Psi}$ (as all functions $\phi(t)\in\widetilde{\Psi}$ are increasing and hence $H(t)$ is always increasing), and $H(t)$ being concave decreasing (as otherwise ϕ is eventually a constant function).

Theorem 4.2 *Let $K\in\mathscr{S}_0$ and $H(t)$ be as above.*

(i) Assume that ϕ and ψ satisfy one of the following conditions: (a) $\phi\in\widetilde{\Phi}$ and $\psi\in\widetilde{\Psi}$ with $H(t)$ increasing; (b) $\phi,\psi\in\widetilde{\Phi}$ with $H(t)$ decreasing. Then,

$$\frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_\phi^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|}\le H\left(\frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_\psi^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|}\right)\ if\ K\in\widetilde{\mathscr{S}},\ \ and\ \ \frac{\widetilde{G}_\phi^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|}\le H\left(\frac{\widetilde{G}_\psi^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|}\right)\ if\ K\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}.$$

While if ϕ and ψ satisfy condition (c) $\phi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$ and $\psi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ with $H(t)$ increasing, then the above inequalities hold with $\leq$ replacing by $\geq$.
(ii) Assume that ϕ and ψ satisfy condition (d) $H(t)$ concave increasing with either $\phi, \psi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ or $\phi, \psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$. Then, for all $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$,

$$\frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|} \leq H\left(\frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_{\psi}^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|}\right), \quad \& \quad \frac{\widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|} \leq H\left(\frac{\widetilde{G}_{\psi}^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|}\right).$$

While if ϕ and ψ satisfy one of the following conditions: (e) $H(t)$ convex decreasing with one in $\widetilde{\Phi}$ and another one in $\widetilde{\Psi}$; (f) $H(t)$ convex increasing with either $\phi, \psi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ or $\phi, \psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$, then the above inequalities hold with $\leq$ replacing by $\geq$.

Proof. We only prove the case $\widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(K)$ and omit the proof for $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(K)$.

(i). For condition (a) $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ and $\psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$ with $H(t)$ increasing and condition (b) $\phi, \psi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ with $H(t)$ decreasing: by Proposition 4.2, one has, for $K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$,

$$\frac{\widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|} \leq \phi\left(vrad(K^{\circ})\right) = H\left[\psi\left(vrad(K^{\circ})\right)\right] \leq H\left(\frac{\widetilde{G}_{\psi}^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|}\right).$$

If functions $\phi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$ and $\psi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ satisfy condition (c), then by Proposition 4.2, the above inequalities hold with $\leq$ replacing by $\geq$.

(ii). For condition (d): the concavity of $H(t)$ with Jensen's inequality imply that, $\forall L \in \mathscr{S}_0$,

$$\frac{\widetilde{V}_{\phi}(K,L)}{|K|} = \frac{1}{n|K|}\int_{S^{n-1}} H\left[\psi\left(\frac{\rho_L(u)}{\rho_K(u)}\right)\right]\rho_K^n(u)\, d\sigma(u) \leq H\left(\frac{\widetilde{V}_{\psi}(K,L)}{|K|}\right).$$

Let $H(t)$ be increasing and concave: by formula (4.6), one has, for $\phi, \psi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ and for all $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|} &= \inf_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}} \frac{n\widetilde{V}_{\phi}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^{\circ})L)}{n|K|} \\ &\leq H\left(\inf_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}} \frac{n\widetilde{V}_{\psi}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^{\circ})L)}{n|K|}\right) = H\left(\frac{\widetilde{G}_{\psi}^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Replacing inf by sup, one gets the analogous result for $\phi, \psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$, due to formula (4.7).

On the other hand, if $H(t)$ is convex, then Jensen's inequality implies,

$$\frac{\widetilde{V}_{\phi}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^{\circ})L)}{|K|} \geq H\left(\frac{\widetilde{V}_{\psi}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^{\circ})L)}{|K|}\right), \quad \forall L \in \mathscr{S}_0. \tag{4.12}$$

For $\phi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$ and $\psi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ satisfy condition (e), i.e., $H(t)$ is convex and decreasing, formulas (4.6)-(4.7) imply that $\forall K \in \mathscr{S}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|} &\geq \sup_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}} H\left(\frac{n\widetilde{V}_{\psi}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^{\circ})L)}{n|K|}\right) \\ &= H\left(\inf_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}} \frac{n\widetilde{V}_{\psi}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^{\circ})L)}{n|K|}\right) = H\left(\frac{\widetilde{G}_{\psi}^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|}\right);\end{aligned}$$

By interchanging inf and sup, one gets the analogous result for $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ and $\psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$ with $H(t)$ being convex and decreasing.

For $\phi, \psi \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ satisfying condition (f), i.e., $H(t)$ is convex increasing: by inequality (4.12) and formula (4.6), one has, for all $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\widetilde{G}_{\phi}^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|} &\geq \inf_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}} H\Big(\frac{n\widetilde{V}_{\psi}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^{\circ})L)}{n|K|}\Big) \\ &= H\Big(\inf_{L\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}} \frac{n\widetilde{V}_{\psi}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(L^{\circ})L)}{n|K|}\Big) = H\Big(\frac{\widetilde{G}_{\psi}^{orlicz}(K)}{n|K|}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Replacing inf by sup, one gets the analogous result for $\phi, \psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}$ with $H(t)$ convex increasing.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $q, r, s \neq n$ be such that either $s < r < 0 < q < n$, or $0 < s < r < q < n$, or $0 < s < n < r < q$. Then, for all $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$,*

$$\widetilde{G}_{r}^{orlicz}(K) \leq \big[\widetilde{G}_{q}^{orlicz}(K)\big]^{\frac{r-s}{q-s}}\big[\widetilde{G}_{s}^{orlicz}(K)\big]^{\frac{q-r}{q-s}}, \quad \& \quad \widetilde{\Omega}_{r}^{orlicz}(K) \leq \big[\widetilde{\Omega}_{q}^{orlicz}(K)\big]^{\frac{r-s}{q-s}}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}_{s}^{orlicz}(K)\big]^{\frac{q-r}{q-s}}.$$

Proof. We only prove the geominimal case and the affine case follows along the same line. Let $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$ and $s < r < q$ (hence $0 < \frac{q-r}{q-s} < 1$). Hölder's inequality (see [16]) implies that

$$n\widetilde{V}_{r}(K, Q) \leq \big[n\widetilde{V}_{s}(K, Q)\big]^{\frac{q-r}{q-s}}\ \big[n\widetilde{V}_{q}(K, Q)\big]^{\frac{r-s}{q-s}}, \quad \forall Q \in \mathscr{S}_0. \tag{4.13}$$

Case (i). Let $s < r < 0 < q < n$. Note that $t^q \in \widetilde{\Psi}$ as $0 < q < n$. Then, for all $Q \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$, one has,

$$[\widetilde{G}_{q}^{orlicz}(K)]^{\frac{r-s}{q-s}} \geq \big[n\widetilde{V}_{q}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(Q^{\circ})Q)\big]^{\frac{r-s}{q-s}}.$$

Note that $t^r, t^s \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ as $r, s < 0$. Together with inequality (4.13), one has,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{G}_{r}^{orlicz}(K) &= \inf_{Q\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}}\big\{n\widetilde{V}_{r}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(Q^{\circ})Q)\big\} \\ &\leq [\widetilde{G}_{q}^{orlicz}(K)]^{\frac{r-s}{q-s}} \times \inf_{Q\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}}\big\{n\widetilde{V}_{s}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(Q^{\circ})Q)\big\}^{\frac{q-r}{q-s}} \\ &= \big[\widetilde{G}_{q}^{orlicz}(K)\big]^{\frac{r-s}{q-s}}\ \big[\widetilde{G}_{s}^{orlicz}(K)\big]^{\frac{q-r}{q-s}}.\end{aligned}$$

The analogous result for the case $0 < s < n < r < q$ follows along the same line, if one notices that $t^s \in \widetilde{\Psi}$ and $t^q, t^r \in \widetilde{\Phi}$.

Case (ii). Let $0 < s < r < q < n$, which clearly implies $t^q, t^r, t^s \in \widetilde{\Psi}$. Taking the supremum over $Q \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$ from both sides of inequality (4.13), one gets, for all $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{G}_{r}^{orlicz}(K) &\leq \sup_{Q\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}}\big\{n\widetilde{V}_{q}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(Q^{\circ})Q)\big\}^{\frac{r-s}{q-s}} \sup_{Q\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}}\big\{n\widetilde{V}_{s}(K, \mathrm{vrad}(Q^{\circ})Q)\big\}^{\frac{q-r}{q-s}} \\ &= \big[\widetilde{G}_{q}^{orlicz}(K)\big]^{\frac{r-s}{q-s}}\ \big[\widetilde{G}_{s}^{orlicz}(K)\big]^{\frac{q-r}{q-s}}.\end{aligned}$$

5 Dual Orlicz mixed L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas

Various affine and geominimal surface areas for multiple convex bodies have been studied extensively in, e.g., [25, 46, 48, 51, 52]. In this section, the dual Orlicz mixed L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas for multiple star bodies are briefly discussed. Most of the proofs are either similar to those for single star body in Section 4 or similar to those in [51, 52], and hence will be omitted.

Let $\vec{\phi} = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_n)$ and $\vec{\phi} \in \widetilde{\Phi}^n$ (or $\vec{\phi} \in \widetilde{\Psi}^n$) means that each $\phi_i \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ (or $\phi_i \in \widetilde{\Psi}$). Similarly, $\mathbf{L} = (L_1, \cdots, L_n) \in \mathscr{S}_0^n$ means that each $L_i \in \mathscr{S}_0$. Define $\widetilde{V}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{L})$ for $\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{L} \in \mathscr{S}_0^n$ by

$$\widetilde{V}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{L}) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[\phi_i \left(\frac{\rho_{L_i}(u)}{\rho_{K_i}(u)} \right) [\rho_{K_i}(u)]^n \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} d\sigma(u).$$

When $\phi_i = \phi$, $K_i = K$ and $L_i = L$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, one gets $\widetilde{V}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{L}) = \widetilde{V}_\phi(K, L)$.

We now propose definitions for the dual Orlicz mixed L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas.

Definition 5.1 *Let $K_1, \cdots, K_n \in \mathscr{S}_0$. For $\vec{\phi} \in \widetilde{\Phi}^n$, define $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})$ and $\widetilde{G}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Omega}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K}) &= \inf_{\mathbf{L} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}^n} \left\{ n\widetilde{V}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{L}) \ \ with \ \ |L_1^\circ| = |L_2^\circ| = \cdots = |L_n^\circ| = \omega_n \right\}, \\ \widetilde{G}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K}) &= \inf_{\mathbf{L} \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}^n} \left\{ n\widetilde{V}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{L}) \ \ with \ \ |L_1^\circ| = |L_2^\circ| = \cdots = |L_n^\circ| = \omega_n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\vec{\phi} \in \widetilde{\Psi}^n$, $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})$ and $\widetilde{G}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})$ are defined as above, but with inf *replacing by* sup.

Remark. As in [52], one may be able to define several different dual Orlicz mixed L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas for $\mathbf{K}$. In this paper, only the one defined by Definition 5.1 will be discussed and properties for others are very similar. Due to $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}^n} \subset \widetilde{\mathscr{S}^n}$, for $\mathbf{K} \in \mathscr{S}_0^n$, one has, $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K}) \le \widetilde{G}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})$ for $\vec{\phi} \in \widetilde{\Phi}^n$ and $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K}) \ge \widetilde{G}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})$ for $\vec{\phi} \in \widetilde{\Psi}^n$. Moreover, the dual Orlicz mixed L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas are affine invariant: for $\mathbf{K} \in \mathscr{S}_0^n$ and for $\vec{\phi} \in \widetilde{\Phi}^n \cup \widetilde{\Psi}^n$,

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(T\mathbf{K}) = \widetilde{\Omega}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K}); \quad \widetilde{G}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(T\mathbf{K}) = \widetilde{G}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K}), \ \ \forall T \in SL(n),$$

where $T\mathbf{K} = (TK_1, \cdots, TK_n)$ for $T \in SL(n)$. For $\mathbf{K} \in \mathscr{S}_0^n$ and $\vec{\phi} \in \widetilde{\Phi}^n$, one has

$$\left[\widetilde{\Omega}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})\right]^n \le \left[\widetilde{G}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})\right]^n \le \widetilde{S}_{\phi_1}(K_1) \cdots \widetilde{S}_{\phi_n}(K_n).$$

Theorem 5.1 *Let $\mathbf{K} \in \mathscr{S}_0^n$. For $\vec{\phi} \in \widetilde{\Phi}^n \cup \widetilde{\Psi}^n$, one has*

$$\left[\widetilde{\Omega}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})\right]^n \le \prod_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi_i}^{orlicz}(K_i) \ \ and \ \ \left[\widetilde{G}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})\right]^n \le \prod_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{G}_{\phi_i}^{orlicz}(K_i).$$

Moreover, if $\vec{\phi}\in\widetilde{\Psi}^n$, the following Alexander-Fenchel type inequalities hold: Let m be an integer such that $1\le m\le n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K})\big]^m &\le \prod_{i=0}^{m-1}\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{(\phi_1,\cdots,\phi_{n-m},\phi_{n-i},\cdots,\phi_{n-i})}(K_1,\cdots,K_{n-m},\underbrace{K_{n-i},\cdots,K_{n-i}}_{m}),\\ \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K})\big]^m &\le \prod_{i=0}^{m-1}\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{(\phi_1,\cdots,\phi_{n-m},\phi_{n-i},\cdots,\phi_{n-i})}(K_1,\cdots,K_{n-m},\underbrace{K_{n-i},\cdots,K_{n-i}}_{m}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only prove the geominimal case and omit the proof for the affine case. In fact, Hölder's inequality (see [16]) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\widetilde{V}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K};\mathbf{L})\big]^m &\le \frac{1}{n}\prod_{i=0}^{m-1}\int_{S^{n-1}}\left[\phi_{n-i}\left(\frac{\rho_{L_{n-i}}(u)}{\rho_{K_{n-i}}(u)}\right)[\rho_{K_{n-i}}(u)]^n\right]^{\frac{m}{n}}\prod_{j=1}^{n-m}\left[\phi_j\left(\frac{\rho_{L_j}(u)}{\rho_{K_j}(u)}\right)[\rho_{K_j}(u)]^n\right]^{\frac{1}{n}}d\sigma(u)\\ &=\prod_{i=0}^{m-1}\widetilde{V}_{(\phi_1,\cdots,\phi_{n-m},\phi_{n-i},\cdots,\phi_{n-i})}(K_1,\cdots,K_{n-m},\underbrace{K_{n-i},\cdots,K_{n-i}}_{m};L_1,\cdots,L_{n-m},\underbrace{L_{n-i},\cdots,L_{n-i}}_{m}).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\vec{\phi}\in\widetilde{\Psi}^n$. Taking the supremum over $\mathbf{L}\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}^n$ with $|L_1^\circ|=\cdots=|L_n^\circ|=\omega_n$, one gets the desired Alexander-Fenchel type inequality if one notices that for all $\mathbf{L}\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}^n$ and all $i=0,\cdots,m-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&n\widetilde{V}_{(\phi_1,\cdots,\phi_{n-m},\phi_{n-i},\cdots,\phi_{n-i})}(K_1,\cdots,K_{n-m},\underbrace{K_{n-i},\cdots,K_{n-i}}_{m};L_1,\cdots,L_{n-m},\underbrace{L_{n-i},\cdots,L_{n-i}}_{m})\\ &\qquad\le\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{(\phi_1,\cdots,\phi_{n-m},\phi_{n-i},\cdots,\phi_{n-i})}(K_1,\cdots,K_{n-m},\underbrace{K_{n-i},\cdots,K_{n-i}}_{m}).\end{aligned}$$

Note that if $m=n$, then $\big[\widetilde{V}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K};\mathbf{L})\big]^n\le\prod_{i=1}^n\widetilde{V}_{\phi_i}(K_i,L_i)$. Definitions 4.1 and 5.1 imply that for $\vec{\phi}\in\widetilde{\Phi}^n$

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K})\big]^n &= \left[\inf_{\mathbf{L}\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}^n}\big\{n\widetilde{V}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K};\mathbf{L})\ \ with\ \ |L_1^\circ|=|L_2^\circ|=\cdots=|L_n^\circ|=\omega_n\big\}\right]^n\\ &\le \prod_{i=1}^n\inf_{L_i\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}}\big\{n\widetilde{V}_{\phi_i}(K_i,L_i)\ \ with\ \ |L_i^\circ|=\omega_n\big\}=\prod_{i=1}^n\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_i}(K_i).\end{aligned}$$

Replacing inf by sup, one gets the desired result for $\phi\in\widetilde{\Psi}^n$.

The following Orlicz affine isoperimetric type inequalities follows from Theorems 4.1 and 5.1.

Theorem 5.2 *Let $\mathbf{K}\in\mathscr{S}_0^n$.*

(i) For $\vec{\phi}\in\widetilde{\Phi}_1^n$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K})\big]^n &\le \prod_{i=1}^n\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_i}\big([B_{(K_i)^\circ}]^\circ\big),\qquad \mathbf{K}\in\widetilde{\mathscr{S}^n};\\ \big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K})\big]^n &\le \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\vec{\phi}}(\mathbf{K})\big]^n\le\prod_{i=1}^n\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_i}([B_{(K_i)^\circ}]^\circ),\qquad \mathbf{K}\in\widetilde{\mathscr{K}^n}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) For $\vec{\phi} \in \widetilde{\Psi}^n$ and $\mathbf{K} \in \mathscr{S}_0^n$, one has

$$\big[\widetilde{G}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})\big]^n \le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})\big]^n \le \prod_{i=1}^n \widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi_i}^{orlicz}(B_{K_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \widetilde{G}_{\phi_i}^{orlicz}(B_{K_i}).$$

For $\mathbf{K} \in \mathscr{S}_0^n$, write $\widetilde{G}_p^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})$ for $\widetilde{G}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})$ and $\widetilde{\Omega}_p^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})$ for $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\vec{\phi}}^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})$ if $\vec{\phi} = (t^p, \cdots, t^p)$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3, one has the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3 *Let $q, r, s \neq n$ be such that either $s < r < 0 < q < n$, or $0 < s < r < q < n$, or $0 < s < n < r < q$. Then, for $\mathbf{K} \in \mathscr{S}_0^n$,*

$$\widetilde{G}_r^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K}) \le \big[\widetilde{G}_q^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})\big]^{\frac{r-s}{q-s}} \big[\widetilde{G}_s^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})\big]^{\frac{q-r}{q-s}}, \quad \& \quad \widetilde{\Omega}_r^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K}) \le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}_q^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})\big]^{\frac{r-s}{q-s}} \big[\widetilde{\Omega}_s^{orlicz}(\mathbf{K})\big]^{\frac{q-r}{q-s}}.$$

For $i \in \mathbb{R}$, define $\widetilde{V}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K, L; Q_1, Q_2)$ with $K, L, Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathscr{S}_0$ by

$$n\widetilde{V}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K, L; Q_1, Q_2) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \left[\phi_1\left(\frac{\rho_{Q_1}(u)}{\rho_K(u)}\right)[\rho_K(u)]^n\right]^{\frac{n-i}{n}} \left[\phi_2\left(\frac{\rho_{Q_2}(u)}{\rho_L(u)}\right)[\rho_L(u)]^n\right]^{\frac{i}{n}} d\sigma(u).$$

The dual Orlicz i-th mixed L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas for $K, L \in \mathscr{S}_0$, denoted by $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}^{orlicz}(K, L)$ and $\widetilde{G}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}^{orlicz}(K, L)$ respectively, are defined as follows.

Definition 5.2 *Let $K, L \in \mathscr{S}_0$ and $i \in \mathbb{R}$. For $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Phi}$, we define*

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}^{orlicz}(K, L) &= \inf_{\{Q_1,Q_2 \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}\}} \big\{n\widetilde{V}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}\big(K, L; Q_1, Q_2\big) : \quad |Q_1^\circ| = |Q_2^\circ| = \omega_n\big\}, \\
\widetilde{G}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}^{orlicz}(K, L) &= \inf_{\{Q_1,Q_2 \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}\}} \big\{n\widetilde{V}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}\big(K, L; Q_1, Q_2\big) : \quad |Q_1^\circ| = |Q_2^\circ| = \omega_n\big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

While if $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Psi}$, $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}^{orlicz}(K, L)$ and respectively $\widetilde{G}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}^{orlicz}(K, L)$ are defined as above, but with inf *replacing by* sup.

The dual Orlicz i-th mixed L_ϕ affine and geominimal surface areas are all affine invariant. Moreover, for $K, L \in \mathscr{S}_0$ and $i \in \mathbb{R}$, one has, due to $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}} \subset \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$,

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}^{orlicz}(K, L) \le \widetilde{G}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}^{orlicz}(K, L), \quad \phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Phi}; \tag{5.14}$$

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}^{orlicz}(K, L) \ge \widetilde{G}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}^{orlicz}(K, L), \quad \phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Psi}. \tag{5.15}$$

Theorem 5.4 *Let $K, L \in \mathscr{S}_0$ and $i < j < k$. For $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Psi}$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned}
\big[\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,j}^{orlicz}(K, L)\big]^{k-i} &\le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}^{orlicz}(K, L)\big]^{k-j} \big[\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,k}^{orlicz}(K, L)\big]^{j-i}; \\
\big[\widetilde{G}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,j}^{orlicz}(K, L)\big]^{k-i} &\le \big[\widetilde{G}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}^{orlicz}(K, L)\big]^{k-j} \big[\widetilde{G}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,k}^{orlicz}(K, L)\big]^{j-i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $i < j < k$ which implies $0 < \frac{k-j}{k-i} < 1$. Hölder's inequality implies that,

$$\widetilde{V}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,j}(K, L; Q_1, Q_2) \le [\widetilde{V}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K, L; Q_1, Q_2)]^{\frac{k-j}{k-i}} [\widetilde{V}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,k}(K, L; Q_1, Q_2)]^{\frac{j-i}{k-i}}.$$

The desired result follows by taking the supremum over $Q_1, Q_2 \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$ and $Q_1, Q_2 \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$ respectively with $|Q_1^\circ| = |Q_2^\circ| = \omega_n$.

Theorem 5.5 *Let $K, L \in \mathscr{S}_0$.*

(i) Let $0 \le i \le n$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Phi}_1$. One has

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n &\le \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n \le \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}([B_{K^\circ}]^\circ)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}([B_{L^\circ}]^\circ)\big]^i, \quad K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}};\\ \big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n &\le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}([B_{K^\circ}]^\circ)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}([B_{L^\circ}]^\circ)\big]^i, \quad K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $0 \le i \le n$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Psi}$. One has, for $K, L \in \mathscr{S}_0$,

$$\big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n \le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n \le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}(B_K)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}(B_L)\big]^i.$$

(iii) Let $\mathscr{E}$ be an origin-symmetric ellipsoid and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Psi}$. For $i > n$ and $K \in \mathscr{S}_0$, one has,

$$\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,\mathscr{E})\big]^n \ge \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,\mathscr{E})\big]^n \ge \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}(B_K)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}(\mathscr{E})\big]^i.$$

Proof. By Hölder's inequality (see [16]) and Definitions 4.1 and 5.2, one has, for $0 \le i \le n$, $K, L \in \mathscr{S}_0$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Phi}$ or $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Psi}$,

$$\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n \le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}(K)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}(L)\big]^i, \tag{5.16}$$

$$\big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n \le \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}(K)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}(L)\big]^i. \tag{5.17}$$

Similarly, for $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Psi}$, $K, L \in \mathscr{S}_0$ and $i < 0$ or $i > n$, one has,

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n &\ge \big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}(K)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}(L)\big]^i,\\ \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n &\ge \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}(K)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}(L)\big]^i.\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

(i). Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Phi}_1$ and $0 \le i \le n$. Combining inequality (5.17) with Theorem 4.1 and inequality (5.14), one gets, for $K, L \in \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n &\le \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n \le \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}(K)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}(L)\big]^i\\ &\le \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}([B_{K^\circ}]^\circ)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}([B_{L^\circ}]^\circ)\big]^i.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, combining inequality (5.16) with Theorem 4.1, one gets, for $K, L \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$,

$$\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n \le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}([B_{K^\circ}]^\circ)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}([B_{L^\circ}]^\circ)\big]^i, \quad K \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}.$$

(ii). Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Psi}$ and $0 \le i \le n$. Combining inequality (5.16) with Theorem 4.1 and inequality (5.15), one gets, for $K, L \in \widetilde{\mathscr{S}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n &\le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,L)\big]^n \le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}(K)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}(L)\big]^i\\ &\le \big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}(B_K)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}(B_L)\big]^i.\end{aligned}$$

(iii). Let $i > n$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \widetilde{\Psi}$. Inequalities (5.15) and (5.18) together with Theorem 4.1 imply

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\widetilde{\Omega}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,\mathscr{E})\big]^n &\ge \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1,\phi_2,i}(K,\mathscr{E})\big]^n \ge \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}(K)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}(\mathscr{E})\big]^i\\ &\ge \big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_1}(B_K)\big]^{n-i}\big[\widetilde{G}^{orlicz}_{\phi_2}(\mathscr{E})\big]^i.\end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments. The research of DY is supported by a NSERC grant.

References

[1] A.D. Aleksandrov, *On the theory of mixed volumes. i. Extension of certain concepts in the theory of convex bodies,* Mat. Sb. (N. S.) 2 (1937) 947-972. [Russian].

[2] A. Bernig, *The isoperimetrix in the dual Brunn-Minkowski theory*, Adv. Math. 254 (2014) 1-14.

[3] J. Bourgain and V.D. Milman, *New volume ratio properties for convex symmetric bodies in* $\mathbb{R}^b$*,* Invent. Math. 88 (1987) 319-340.

[4] P. Dulio, R.J. Gardner and C. Peri, *Characterizing the dual mixed volume via additive functionals,* `arXiv:1312.4072`.

[5] W. Fenchel and B. Jessen, *Mengenfunktionen und konvexe köoper,* Danske Vid. Selskab. Mat.-fys. Medd. 16 (1938) 1-31.

[6] R.J. Gardner, *A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in three dimensions,* Ann. of Math. 140 (1994) 435-447.

[7] R.J. Gardner, *Geometric Tomography,* Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2005.

[8] R.J. Gardner, *The dual Brunn-Minkowski theory for bounded borel sets: Dual affine quermassintegrals and inequalities,* Adv. Math. 216 (2007) 358-386.

[9] R.J. Gardner, D. Hug and W. Weil, *The Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory: a general framework, additions, and inequalities,* J. Diff. Geom. 97 (2014) 427-476.

[10] R.J. Gardner, D. Hug, W. Weil and D. Ye, *The Dual Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski Theory,* submitted. `arXiv:1407.7311`

[11] R.J. Gardner, A. Koldobski and T. Schlumprecht, *An analytic solution to the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies,* Ann. of Math. 149 (1999) 691-703.

[12] R.J. Gardner and S. Vassallo, *Inequalities for dual isoperimetric deficits,* Mathematika 45 (1998) 269-285.

[13] R.J. Gardner and S. Vassallo, *Stability of inequalities in the dual Brunn-Minkowski theory,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 231 (1999) 568-587.

[14] R.J. Gardner and S. Vassallo, *The Brunn-Minkowski inequality, Minkowski's first inequality, and their duals',* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 245 (2000) 502-512.

[15] P.M. Gruber, *Aspects of approximation of convex bodies,* Handbook of Convex Geometry, vol. A, 321-345, North Holland, 1993.

[16] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1952.

[17] J. Jenkinson and E. Werner, *Relative entropies for convex bodies,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366 (2014) 2889-2906.

[18] G. Kuperberg, *From the Mahler conjecture to Gauss linking integrals,* Geom. Funct. Anal. 18 (2008) 870-892.

[19] K. Leichtweiss, *Zur Affinoberfläche konvexer Köper,* Manuscripta Math. 56 (1986) 429-464.

[20] M. Ludwig, *General affine surface areas*, Adv. Math. 224 (2010) 2346-2360.

[21] M. Ludwig and M. Reitzner, *A characterization of affine surface area,* Adv. Math. 147 (1999) 138-172.

[22] M. Ludwig and M. Reitzner, *A classification of $SL(n)$ invariant valuations,* Ann. of Math. 172 (2010) 1223-1271.

[23] M. Ludwig, C. Schütt and E. Werner, *Approximation of the Euclidean ball by polytopes*, Studia Math. 173 (2006) 1-18.

[24] E. Lutwak, *Dual mixed volumes,* Pacific J. Math. 58 (1975) 531-538.

[25] E. Lutwak, *Mixed affine surface area,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 125 (1987) 351-360.

[26] E. Lutwak, *Intersection bodies and dual mixed volume,* Adv. Math. 71 (1988) 232-261.

[27] E. Lutwak, *Centered bodies and dual mixed volumes,* Proc. London. Math. Soc. 60 (1990) 365-391.

[28] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. II. Affine and geominimal surface areas,* Adv. Math. 118 (1996) 244-294.

[29] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *Orlicz projection bodies,* Adv. Math. 223 (2010) 220-242.

[30] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *Orlicz centroid bodies,* J. Diff. Geom. 84 (2010) 365-387.

[31] M. Meyer and E. Werner, *The Santaló-regions of a convex body,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998) 4569-4591.

[32] M. Meyer and E. Werner, *On the p-affine surface area,* Adv. Math. 152 (2000) 288-313.

[33] E. Milman, *Dual mixed volumes and the slicing problem*, Adv. Math. 207 (2006) 566-598.

[34] F. Nazarov, *The Hörmander Proof of the Bourgain-Milman Theorem,* Geom. Funct. Anal., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2050 (2012) 335-343.

[35] G. Paouris and E. Werner, *Relative entropy of cone measures and L_p centroid bodies,* Proc. London Math. Soc. 104 (2012) 253-286.

[36] C.M. Petty, *Geominimal surface area,* Geom. Dedicata 3 (1974) 77-97.

[37] C.M. Petty, *Affine isoperimetric problems,* Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, Volume 440, Discrete Geometry and Convexity, (1985) 113-127.

[38] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski theory,* Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 2014.

[39] C. Schütt and E. Werner, *Random polytopes of points chosen from the boundary of a convex body,* in: GAFA Seminar Notes, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1807, Springer-Verlag, 2002, pp. 241-422.

[40] C. Schütt and E. Werner, *Surface bodies and p-affine surface area,* Adv. Math. 187 (2004) 98-145.

[41] W. Wang and B. He, *L_p-dual affine surface area,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 348 (2008) 746-751.

[42] W. Wang and C. Qi, *L_p-dual geominimal surface area,* J. Ineq. Appl. 6 (2011) 1-10.

[43] E. Werner, *Renyi Divergence and L_p-affine surface area for convex bodies,* Adv. Math. 230 (2012) 1040-1059.

[44] E. Werner, *f-Divergence for convex bodies,* Proceedings of the "Asymptotic Geometric Analysis" workshop, the Fields Institute, Toronto 2012.

[45] E. Werner and D. Ye, *New L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities,* Adv. Math. 218 (2008) 762-780.

[46] E. Werner and D. Ye, *Inequalities for mixed p-affine surface area,* Math. Ann. 347 (2010) 703-737.

[47] D. Xi, H. Jin and G. Leng, *The Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski inequality*, Adv. Math. 260 (2014) 350-374.

[48] D. Ye, *Inequalities for general mixed affine surface areas*, J. London Math. Soc. 85 (2012) 101-120.

[49] D. Ye, *L_p Geominimal Surface Areas and their Inequalities,* Int. Math. Res. Notes, in press. doi:10.1093/imrn/rnu009.

[50] D. Ye, *On the monotone properties of general affine surfaces under the Steiner symmetrization,* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 63 (2014) 1-19.

[51] D. Ye, *New Orlicz Affine Isoperimetric Inequalities,* submitted. `arXiv:1403.1643`

[52] D. Ye, B. Zhu and J. Zhou, *The mixed L_p geominimal surface areas for multiple convex bodies*, submitted. `arXiv:1311.5180`

[53] G. Zhang, *Centered bodies and dual mixed volumes,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 345 (1994) 777-801.

[54] G. Zhang, *A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in four dimensions,* Ann. of Math. 149 (1999) 535-543.

[55] B. Zhu, *Geominimal surface areas and the dual Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory*, PhD thesis (in Chinese), Southwest University, Chongqing, China.

Deping Ye, `deping.ye@mun.ca`
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Memorial University of Newfoundland
St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada A1C 5S7